DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

1 7 MAR 1993

THE SECRETARY - ROOM

IN REPLY REFER TO:

7330ED700A3
RECEIVED700A3 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS (CANADESCION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Honorable Craig Thomas House of Representatives Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Thomas:

This is in reply to your letter of February 21, 1993, in which you inquired on behalf of several constituents regarding the Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice) in PR Docket No. 92-235, 57 FR 54034 (1992). This Notice proposes comprehensive changes to the Commission's Rules governing the private land mobile radio services operating in the frequency bands below 512 MHz.

The proposals in the Notice reflect to a large extent concepts and proposals submitted in the initial inquiry stages of this proceeding. None of the



Congressional

Duz:3-17-93

PLEASE MAKE 2 EXTRA COPIES OF INCOMING, ATTACHMENTS, AND REPLY FOR DOCKET FILE, ROOM 222.

CONGRESSIONAL CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING SYSTEM 03/09/93

LETTER REPORT

CONTROL NO.	DATE RECEIVED			DATE DUE OLA(857
9301128		02/21/93		
TITLE	MEMBERS	NAME		SIG OF
Congressman	Craig	Thomas	BC	
CONSTITUENT'S NAME		SUBJECT		
personal vie	ews inq.	comments on PR D	ocket 92-23	35
REF TO PRB/4 3-10-93	REF TO	REF TO	REF	TO
DATE	DATE	DATE		DATE
03/09/93				

REMARKS:

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

92-235 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-5001
(202) 225-2311

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-5001

1128

February 21, 1993

James Quello Acting Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Good morning James...

I want to express my concerns about PR Docket 92-235.

I've heard from public officials throughout Wyoming about this proposal, and every comment has been negative. The idea of changing the allocation and coordination of radio frequencies might hold water in urban areas, but it doesn't make a whole lot of sense in Wyoming. Wyoming does not have a problem with channel consolidation or allocation. It appears the result of this proposal would be yet another unfunded mandate from the federal government, throwing prohibitive costs on the backs of local governments at a time when they can ill afford it. Beyond that, it's obvious that such a change is not necessary in a rural state like Wyoming.

Hospitals in Wyoming are particularly concerned with this proposal, as are local governments. An issue of grave concern is the proposal to substantially reduce power and antenna height limits. This would force local governments in Wyoming to build a number of new towers to cover the large area that is currently reached with a single transmitter atop a mountain. This could cost local governments hundreds of thousands of dollars, all going to address a problem that does not exist in these areas.

I would appreciate learning of your motivation for this proposal, how you see it impacting local governments and public safety providers, and what you plan to do to exempt rural areas that don't have an allocation problem.

Thank you in advance for your timely consideration.

Best regards,

Craig Thomas

Member of Congress